Aquatic Invertebrate Community Structure in Broadleaf Marshes

Expectation:

An aquatic macroinvertebrate community within remnant broadleaf marsh and restored broadleaf marsh in areas that exist as pasture, exhibiting species richness > 125, species diversity \geq 3.0, and community evenness \geq 0.60 within three years following hydrologic restoration.

Author:

Joseph W. Koebel Jr., South Florida Water Management District

Date:

August 26, 1998

Relevant Endpoint(s):

Restoration - Biological Integrity - Community Structure

Restoration – Biological Integrity – Biodiversity

Restoration – System Functional Integrity - Habitat Quality Restoration – System Functional Integrity - Habitat Use

Baseline Conditions:

Quarterly, replicate (3) aquatic invertebrate samples were collected from broadleaf marsh habitats in Pools A and C from August 1995 – May 1997. Broadleaf marsh habitats in Pool A were dry during most of this period, and were sampled only once during the two-year study. Species richness (22), diversity (0.81), and evenness (0.26) were very low, reflecting poor quality (dry) habitat during most of the period. Mean annual density of macroinvertebrates was 849.9 individuals/m². Broadleaf marsh habitats within Pool C were sampled three times between August 1995 and May 1997. Species richness (65), diversity (2.6), and evenness (0.62) were much greater than in Pool A, although low compared to natural wetland systems of central Florida. Within Pool C broadleaf marsh, mean annual density of macroinvertebrates was 4727.3 individuals/m².

Pasture habitats in Pools A and C were dry throughout most of the twoyear study, and were not sampled.

Reference Conditions:

Although historic data on aquatic invertebrate community structure of broadleaf marsh habitats within the Kissimmee River ecosystem are not available, reference conditions have been derived from current literature on tropical, subtropical, and temperate river-floodplain and wetland ecosystems (Kushlan 1975; Kushlan and Kushlan 1980; Toth 1993; Mallory et al. 1994; Rader 1994; Rader and Richardson 1994; Jordan et al. 1996a, 1996b; Merritt et al 1996; Evans et al. 1999; Rader 1999). In most wetland systems, chironomids and oligochaetes are numerically dominant, often accounting for > 50% of mean annual numbers. Rader (1994) found 174 taxa comprise the known aquatic macroinvertebrate community in the Everglades, but indicates that the actual number of taxa may exceed 200 - 250 species. Diversity estimates for benthic macroinvertebrates in natural flatwoods marshes range from 3.94 to 4.50, with a mean of 4.23 (Evans et al. 1999). Expectations for aquatic macroinvertebrate species richness (>125), species diversity (>3.0), and community evenness (>0.60) within restored broadleaf marsh habitats, and restored broadleaf marsh in areas that exist as pasture, represent conservative estimates derived from these reference conditions. Table 1 lists taxa typical of central and southern Florida marshes that can serve as indicators of broadleaf marsh restoration.

Mechanism Relating Restoration To Reference Conditions:

Re-established hydroperiods and variable depth patterns within existing broadleaf marshes and newly created marshes, associated development of a diverse, heterogeneous wetland plant community, and reestablishment of predator-prey interactions, likely will shape and maintain a diverse macroinvertebrate community characteristic of the pre-channelized condition.

Time Course for Restoration:

The time frame for re-establishing a diverse aquatic invertebrate community within newly created wetlands is primarily dependent on the rate at which floodplain habitats are re-inundated, the duration of inundation, depth of inundation, and how fast the mosaic of wetland plant species become re-established. Reestablishing a diverse aquatic community in existing marshes is primarily related to reestablishment of historic hydroperiods.

Implementation of the interim upper basin regulation schedule (November 2001) is expected to seasonally inundate portions of the floodplain in Pool C. Invertebrate response likely will be rapid, with mobile taxa, primarily coleopterans, dipterans, ephemeropterans, hemipterns, and odonates colonizing within one month. During the first hydrologic cycle, it is expected that a wetland plant community will become reestablished. During this time, crustaceans (amphipods, isopods, crayfish, and freshwater shrimp), gastropods, and mollusks likely will colonize. Invertebrate distributions and diversity will be a function of hydrologic patterns, interspecific competition, and predatorprey interactions. It is likely that macroinvertebrate community structure will achieve the stated expectation 3-5 years following reestablishment of historic hydroperiods. However, any delay in reestablishing historic hydroperiods likely will delay reestablishment of historic aquatic invertebrate community structure characteristics.

Adjustments for External Constraints:

None: Because all taxa likely to re-colonize restored broadleaf marsh habitats occur within the Kissimmee-Okeechobee ecosystem, there are no external constraints which would delay or preclude restoration of this biotic component.

Means of Evaluation:

Initial sampling of existing broadleaf marsh habitat will coincide with sampling of large-bodied fish and wading bird utilization of floodplain habitats (i.e., within one month of initial floodplain inundation). Although this time frame is not sufficient to reestablish historic aquatic invertebrate community structure characteristics, data may be useful in explaining the initial response and distribution of large-bodied fishes and wading birds within floodplain habitats. Sampling of newly created marsh will commence approximately 12 months following inundation, if this time period is sufficient to promote growth of broadleaf marsh vegetation. Methods will be identical to those outlined in Anderson et al. (1998), and include quarterly (at a minimum), replicate (5, minimally) "stovepipe" (area = 0.105 m²) samples from randomly selected locations within Pools A and C broadleaf marshes and pasture habitats undergoing transition to broadleaf marsh. Samples will be analyzed for species identity, species richness, species diversity,

and community evenness. Results will be compared to the stated expectation.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, D.H., J.W. Koebel, Jr., and L.M. Rojas. 1998. Pre-restoration assessment of aquatic invertebrate community structure in the Kissimmee River, Florida. Final deliverable (C-6625) submitted to the South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL 33416.
- Evans, D.L., W.J. Streever, and T.L. Crisman. 1999. Natural flatwoods marshes and created freshwater marshes of Florida: factors influencing aquatic invertebrate distribution and comparisons between natural and created marsh communities. Pages 81-104 *in* D.P. Batzer, R.B. Rader, and S.A. Wissinger (eds.), Invertebrates in Freshwater Wetlands of North America: Ecology and Management. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Jordan, F., K.J. Babbitt, C.C. McIvor, and S.J. Miller. 1996a. Spatial ecology of the crayfish *Procambarus alleni* in a Florida wetland mosaic. Wetlands 16:134-142.
- Jordan, F., C.J. DeLeon, and A.C. McCreary. 1996b. Predation, habitat complexity, and distribution of the crayfish *Procambarus alleni* within a wetland habitat mosaic. Wetlands 16:452-457.
- Kushlan, J.A. 1975. Population changes of the apple snail (Pomacea paludosus) in the southern Everglades.

 Nautilus 89:21-23.
- Kushlan, J.A. and M.S. Kushlan. 1980. Observations on crayfish in the Everglades, Florida. Crustacean supplement 5:115-120.
- Merritt, R.W., J.R. Wallace, M.J. Higgins, M.K. Alexander, M.B. Berg, W.T. Morgan, K.W. Cummins, and B.Vandeneeden. 1996. Procedures for the functional analysis if invertebrate communities of the Kissimmee River-floodplain ecosystem. Florida Scientist 59:216-274.
- Rader, R.B. and C.J. Richardson. 1992. The effects of nutrient enrichment on algae and macroinvertebrates in the Everglades: A review. Wetlands 12:121-135.
- Rader, R.B. 1994. Macroinvertebrates of the northern Everglades: Species composition and trophic structure. Florida Scientist 57:22-33.
- Rader, R.B. 1999. The Florida Everglades: Natural variability, invertebrate diversity, and foodweb stability.Pages 25-54 in D.P. Batzer, R.B. Rader, and S.A. Wissinger (eds.), Invertebrates in FreshwaterWetlands of North America: Ecology and Management. John Wiley & Sons. New York.
- Toth, L.A. 1993. The ecological basis of the Kissimmee River restoration plan. Florida Scientist 56:25-51.

Table 1: Common central and southern Florida wetland macroinvertebrate taxa.

<u>Taxa</u>			
Crustacea:	Chironomidae:		
Amphipoda:	Ablabesmyia spp.		
Hyalella azteca	Chironomus spp.		
Crangonyx floridana	Glyptotendipes spp.		
Isopoda:	Goeldichironomus spp.		
Asellidae:	Labrundinea spp.		
Caecidotea sp.	Larsia spp.		
Annelida:	Monopelopia spp.		
Oligochaeta	Natarsia spp.		
Decapoda:	Polypedilum spp.		
Palaemonetes paludosus	Totypeatium spp. Tanytarsus spp.		
Procambarus spp.	Stratiomyidae:		
Insecta:	Odontomyia spp.		
Coleoptera:			
Dytiscidae:	Ephemeroptera: Baetidae:		
Bidessontus spp.	Callibaetis floridanus		
Celina spp.	Caenidae:		
Copelatus spp.	Caenis diminuta		
Coptotomus spp.	Hemiptera:		
Cybister spp.	Belostomatidae:		
Dytiscus spp.	Abedus spp.		
Hydroporus spp.	Belostoma spp.		
Laccodytes spp.	Lethocerus americanus		
Laccophilus spp.	Corixidae:		
Laccornis spp.	Trichocorixa spp.		
Pachydrus spp.	Hydrometridae:		
Uvarus spp.	Hydrometra spp.		
Hydrophilidae:	Mesoveliidae:		
Berosus spp.	Mesovelia spp.		
Derallus spp.	Naucoridae:		
Enochrus spp.	Pelocoris spp.		
Helobata spp.	Pleidae:		
Heloporus spp.	Paraplea spp.		
Hydrobiomorpha spp.	Lepidoptera:		
Hydrobius spp.	Noctuidae:		
Hydrochus spp.	Simyra spp.		
Hydrophilus spp.	Pyralidae:		
Tropisternus spp.	Acentria spp.		
Noteridae:	Parapoynx spp.		
Hydrocanthus sp.	Odonata:		
Suphis sp.	Anisoptera:		
Suphisellus sp.	Aeschnidae:		
Diptera:	Anax junius		
Ceratopogonidae:	Coryphaeschna spp.		
Dasyhelea spp.	Libellulidae:		
Culicidae:	Erythemis simplicicollis		
Uranotaenia spp.	Libellula spp.		
Mansonia spp.	Pachydiplax longipennis		
Tabanidae:	Tramea spp.		
Tabanus spp.	Zygoptera:		
Tipulidae:	Coenagrionidae:		
Limonia sp.	Enallagma spp.		
Zimoma sp.	Ischnurg spp.		

Ischnura spp.

Trichoptera:
Hydroptilidae:
Oxyethira spp.
Leptoceridae:
Nectopsyche spp.
Gastropoda:
Anycilidae:
Ferissia spp.
Planorbidae:
Pomacea paludosus
Helisomidae:
Helisoma spp.
Physidae:
Physella spp.